# 4.4. Arithmetic coding

## Advantages:

- Reaches the entropy (within computing precision)
- Superior to Huffman coding for small alphabets and skewed distributions
- Clean separation of modelling and coding
- Suits well for adaptive one-pass compression
- Computationally efficient

## History:

- Original ideas by Shannon and Elias
- Actually discovered in 1976 (Pasco; Rissanen)

# Arithmetic coding (cont.)

#### Characterization:

- One codeword for the whole message
- A kind of extreme case of extended Huffman (or Tunstall) coding
- No codebook required
- No clear correspondence between source symbols and code bits

#### Basic ideas:

- Message is represented by a (small) interval in [0, 1)
- Each successive symbol reduces the interval size
- Interval size = product of symbol probabilities
- Prefix-free messages result in disjoint intervals
- Final code = any value from the interval
- Decoding computes the same sequence of intervals

# Arithmetic coding: Encoding of "BADCAB"



### **Encoding of "BADCAB" with rescaled intervals**



SEAC-4 J.Teuhola 2014

# **Algorithm: Arithmetic encoding**

*Input*: Sequence  $x = x_i$ , i=1, ..., n; probabilities  $p_1, ..., p_q$  of symbols 1, ..., q. *Output*: Real value between [0, 1) that represents X.

#### begin

```
cum[0] := 0

for i := 1 to q do cum[i] := cum[i-1] + p_i

lower := 0.0

upper := 1.0

for i := 1 to n do

begin range := upper - lower

upper := lower + range * cum[x_i]

lower := lower + range * cum[x_i-1]

end

return (lower + upper) / 2

end
```

# **Algorithm: Arithmetic decoding**

- *Input*: *v*: Encoded real value; *n*: number of symbols to be decoded; probabilities  $p_1, ..., p_q$  of symbols 1, ..., *q*.
- *Output*: Decoded sequence *x*.

#### begin

```
cum[1] := p1
for i := 2 to q do cum[i] := cum[i-1] + p_i
lower := 0.0
upper := 1.0
for i := 1 to n do
begin range := upper - lower
z := (v - lower) / range
Find j such that cum[j-1] \le z < cum[j]
x_i := j
upper := lower + range * cum[j]
lower := lower + range * cum[j-1]
end
return x = x_1, ..., x_n
```

#### end

# Arithmetic coding (cont.)

### **Practical problems to be solved:**

- Arbitrary-precision real arithmetic
- The whole message must be processed before the first bit is transferred and decoded.
- The decoder needs the length of the message

### **Representation of the final binary code:**

- Midpoint between *lower* and *upper* ends of the final interval.
- Sufficient number of significant bits, to make a distinction from both *lower* and *upper*.
- The code is prefix-free among prefix-free messages.

# Example of code length selection



- *upper*. 0.517072 = .100001000101*1*1101...
- *midpoint*: 0.516928 = .10000100010**10**1010...
- *lower*: 0.516784 = .10000100010**01**0111...



range = 0.00028log<sub>2</sub>(1/range)  $\approx$ 11.76 bits

### **Another source message**

"ABCDABCABA"

• *Precise* probabilities:

P(A) = 0.4, P(B) = 0.3, P(C) = 0.2, P(D) = 0.1

Final range length:
 0.4 · 0.3 · 0.2 · 0.1 · 0.4 · 0.3 · 0.2 · 0.4 · 0.3 · 0.4 =
 0.4<sup>4</sup> · 0.3<sup>3</sup> · 0.2<sup>2</sup> · 0.1 = 0.000002764

 $-\log_2 0.00002764 \approx 18.46 = entropy$ 

# **Arithmetic coding: Basic theorem**

### Theorem 4.2.

Let range = upper – lower be the final probability interval in Algorithm 4.8. The binary representation of mid = (upper + lower) / 2truncated to  $l(x) = \lceil \log_2(1/range) \rceil + 1$  bits is a uniquely decodable code for message x among prefix-free messages.

Proof: Skipped.

# Optimality

Expected length of an *n*-symbol message *x*:

$$L^{(n)} = \sum P(x)l(x)$$
  
=  $\sum P(x) \left[ \log_2 \frac{1}{P(x)} \right] + 1 \right]$   
 $\leq \sum P(x) \left[ \log_2 \frac{1}{P(x)} + 2 \right]$   
=  $\sum P(x) \log_2 \frac{1}{P(x)} + 2 \sum P(x)$   
=  $H(S^{(n)}) + 2$ 

Bits per symbol:

$$\frac{H(x^{(n)})}{n} \le L \le \frac{H(x^{(n)})}{n} + \frac{2}{n}$$
$$H(S) \le L \le H(S) + \frac{2}{n}$$

SEAC-4 J.Teuhola 2014

# **Ending problem**

- The above theorem holds only for prefix-free messages.
- The ranges of a message and its prefix overlap, and may result in the same code value.
- How to distinguish between "VIRTA" and "VIRTANEN"?
- Solutions:
  - Transmit the *length* of the message before the message itself: "5VIRTA" and "8VIRTANEN". This is not good for online applications.
  - Use a special end-of-message symbol, with prob = 1/n where n is an *estimated* length of the message.
     Good solution unless n is totally wrong.

## Arithmetic coding: Incremental transmission

- Bits are sent as soon as they are known.
- Decoder can start well before the encoder has finished.
- The interval is scaled (zoomed) for each output bit: Multiplication by 2 means shifting the binary point one position to the right:

upper:
 
$$0.011010...$$
 $\rightarrow$ 
 $0.11010...$ 
 and transmit 0

 upper:
  $0.01101...$ 
 $\rightarrow$ 
 $0.10100...$ 
 and transmit 1

 upper:
  $0.110100...$ 
 $\rightarrow$ 
 $0.10100...$ 
 and transmit 1

• Note: The common bit also in midpoint value.

# **Arithmetic coding: Scaling situations**

// Number p of pending bits initialized to 0

#### *upper* < 0.5:

- transmit bit 0 (plus p pending 1's)
- lower := 2 · lower
- upper := 2 · upper

#### *lower* > 0.5

- transmit bit 1 (plus p pending 0's)
- *lower* := 2 · (*lower* 0.5)
- *upper* := 2 · (*upper* 0.5)

#### *lower* > 0.25 and *upper* < 0.75:

- Add one to the number p of pending bits
- *lower* = 2 · (*lower* 0.25)
- *upper* = 2 · (*upper* 0.25)



## **Decoder operation**

- Reads a sufficient number of bits to determine the first symbol (unique interval of cumulative probabilities).
- Imitates the encoder: performs the same scalings, after the symbol is determined
- Scalings drop the 'used' bits, and new ones are read in.
- No pending bits.

# Implementation with integer arithmetic

- Use symbol frequencies instead of probabilities
- Replace [0, 1) by [0, 2<sup>k</sup>-1)
- Replace 0.5 by 2<sup>*k*-1</sup>−1
- Replace 0.25 by 2<sup>*k*-2</sup>−1
- Replace 0.75 by 3.2<sup>k-2</sup>-1

#### Formulas for computing the next interval:

- upper := lower + (range · cum[symbol] / total\_freq) 1
- Iower := Iower + (range · cum[symbol-1] / total\_freq)

**Avoidance of overflow:** *range* · *cum*() < 2<sup>*wordsize*</sup>

**Avoidance of underflow:** *range > total\_frequency* 

SEAC-4 J.Teuhola 2014

## Solution to avoiding over-/underflow

- Due to scaling, *range* is always >  $2^{k-2}$
- Both overflow and underflow are avoided, if  $total\_freq < 2^{k-2}$ , and  $2k-2 \le w =$  machine word

### **Suggestion:**

Present total\_freq with max 14 bits, range with 16 bits

#### Formula for decoding a symbol *x* from a *k*-bit *value*:

$$cum(x-1) \le \left\lfloor \frac{(value - lower + 1) \cdot total\_freq - 1}{upper - lower + 1} \right\rfloor < cum(x)$$

# 4.4.1. Adaptive arithmetic coding

## Advantage of arithmetic coding:

 Used probability distribution can be changed at any time, but synchronously in the encoder and decoder.

# Adaptation:

- Maintain frequencies of symbols during the coding
- Use the current frequencies in reducing the interval

# Initial model; alternative choices:

- All symbols have an initial frequency = 1.
- Use a placeholder (NYT = Not Yet Transmitted) for the unseen symbols, move symbols to active alphabet at the first occurrence.

### **Basic idea of adaptive arithmetic coding**

Alphabet: {A, B, C, D}

Message to be coded: "AABAAB ..."



# Adaptive arithmetic coding (cont.)

### **Biggest problem:**

 Maintenance of cumulative frequencies; simple vector implementation has complexity O(q) for q symbols.

#### **General solution:**

- Maintain partial sums in an explicit or implicit binary tree structure.
- Complexity is  $O(\log_2 q)$  for both search and update

Tree of partial sums



SEAC-4 J.Teuhola 2014

# Implicit tree of partial sums

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
f	f1+f2	fЗ	f1++f4	<i>f</i> 5	<i>f</i> 5+ <i>f</i> 6	f7	f1++ <i>f</i> 8
0	10	4.4	10	10	4.4	45	46
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	10
<i>f</i> 9	<i>f</i> 9+ <i>f</i> 10	<i>f</i> 11	<i>f</i> 9++ <i>f</i> 12	<i>f</i> 13	<i>f</i> 13+ <i>f</i> 14	<i>f</i> 15	f1++f16

Correct indices are obtained by bit-level operations.

# 4.4.2. Arithmetic coding for a binary alphabet

### **Observations:**

- Arithmetic coding works as well for any size of alphabet, contrary to Huffman coding.
- Binary alphabet is especially easy: No cumulative probability table.

# **Applications:**

- Compression of black-and-white images
- Any source, interpreted bitwise

## **Speed enhancement:**

- Avoid multiplications
- Approximations cause additional redundancy

# Arithmetic coding for binary alphabet (cont.)

### Note:

- Scaling operations need only multiplication by two, implemented as shift-left.
- Multiplications appearing in reducing the intervals are the problem.

### **Convention:**

- MPS = More Probable Symbol
- LPS = Less Probable Symbol
- The correspondence to actual symbols may change locally during the coding.

# Skew coder (Langdon & Rissanen)

- Idea: approximate the probability p of LPS by  $1/2^{Q}$  for some integer Q > 0.
- Choose LPS to be the first symbol of the alphabet (can be done without restriction)
- Calculating the new range:
  - □ For LPS: range  $\leftarrow$  range >> Q;
  - □ For MPS: range  $\leftarrow$  range (range >> Q);
- Approximation causes some redundancy
- Average number of bits per symbol (*p* = exact prob):

$$pQ - (1-p)\log_2(1-\frac{1}{2^Q})$$

# Solving the 'breakpoint' probability $\hat{p}$

- Choose Q to be either *r* or *r*+1, where  $r = \lfloor -\log_2 p \rfloor$
- Equate the bit counts for rounding down and up:

$$\hat{p}r - (1 - \hat{p})\log_2(1 - \frac{1}{2^r}) = \hat{p}(r+1) - (1 - \hat{p})\log_2(1 - \frac{1}{2^{r+1}})$$

which gives

$$\hat{p} = \frac{z}{1+z}$$
 where  $z = \log_2 \frac{1-1/2^{r+1}}{1-1/2^r}$ 

# Skew coder (cont.)

#### **Probability approximation table:**

Probability range	Q	Effective probability
0.3690 - 0.5000	1	0.5
0.1820 - 0.3690	2	0.25
0.0905 – 0.1820	3	0.125
0.0452 - 0.0905	4	0.0625
0.0226 - 0.0452	5	0.03125
0.0113 – 0.0226	6	0.015625

#### **Proportional compression efficiency:**

$$\frac{entropy}{averageLength} = \frac{-p\log p - (1-p)\log(1-p)}{-pQ - (1-p)\log(1-1/2^{Q})}$$

SEAC-4 J.Teuhola 2014

# **QM-coder**

One of the methods for e.g. black-and-white images

# Others:

- □ *Q-coder* (predecessor of QM, tailored to hardware impl. / IBM)
- □ *MQ-coder* (in JBIG2; Joint Bi-Level Image Compression Group)
- □ *M-coder* (in H.264/AVC video compression standard)
- Tuned Markov model (finite-state automaton) for adapting probabilities.

## Interval setting:

- MPS is the 'first' symbol
- Maintain *lower* and *range*:



# QM-coder (cont.)

### Key ideas:

- Operate within interval [0, 1.5)
- Rescale when range < 0.75</p>
- Approximate range by 1 in multiplications

range  $\cdot p \approx p$ range  $\cdot (1-p) \approx range - p$ 

- No pending bits, but a 'carry' bit can propagate to the output bits, which must be buffered. Unlimited propagation is prevented by 'stuffing' 0-bits after bytes containing only 1's (small redundancy).
- Practical implementation is done using integers within [0, 65536).

# 4.4.3. Practical problems with arithmetic coding

- Not partially decodable nor indexable: Start decoding always from the beginning even to recover a small section in the middle.
- *Vulnerable*: Bit errors result in a totally scrambled message
- Not self-synchronizable, contrary to Huffman code

### Solution for static distributions: Arithmetic Block Coding

- Applies the idea of arithmetic coding within machine words
- Restarts a new coding loop when the word bits are 'used'.
- Resembles Tunstall code, but no explicit codebook.
- Fast, because avoids the scalings and bit-level operations.
- Non-optimal code length, but rather close