§9 Compensating Resource Limitations

- aspects of compensation
 - information principle equation
 - consistency and responsiveness
 - scalability
- protocol optimization
- dead reckoning
- local perception filters
- synchronized simulation
- area-of-interest filtering





• To achieve scalability and performance, the overall resource penalty incurred within a networked application must be reduced



- $T = \underline{t}$ imeliness in which the network must deliver packets to each destination
- *P* = number of processor cycles required to receive and process each message

Information Principle Equation as a Tool

- Each reduction ⇒ a compensating increase or a compensating degradation in the quality
- How to modify depends on the application





Consistency and Responsiveness

- consistency
- similarity of the view to the data in the nodes belonging to a network
 responsiveness
- delay that it takes for an update event to be registered by the nodestraditionally, consistency is important
- distributed databases
- real-time interaction ⇒ responsiveness is important and consistency can be compromised
- \Rightarrow the game world can either be
 - a *dynamic world* in which information changes frequently or
 - a *consistent world* in which all nodes maintain identical information
 - but it cannot be both

Absolute Consistency

- To guarantee *absolute consistency* among the nodes, the data source must wait until everybody has received the information before it can proceed
 delay from original message transmission, acknowledgements, possible retransmissions
- The source can generate updates only at a limited rate
- Time for the communication protocol to reliably disseminate the state updates to the remote nodes















Amdahl's Law

- time required by serially executed parts cannot be reduced by parallel computation
- theoretical speedup: $S(n) = T(1) / T(n) \leq T(1) / (T(1) / n) = n$
- execution time has a serial part T_s and parallel part T_p
 T_s + T_p = 1

$$\alpha = T_s / (T_s + T_s)$$

- speedup with optimal serialization: $S(n) = (T_s + T_p) / (T_s + T_p/n) \le 1/\alpha$
- example: $\alpha = 0.05 \Rightarrow S(n) \le 20$



- ideally everything should be calculated in parallel
 everybody plays their game regardless of others
- if there is communication, there are serially executed parts
 - the players must agree on the sequence of events





Communication Capacity: Example

- client-server using unicasting in a 10 Mbps Ethernet using IPv6
- each client sends 5 packets/s containing a 32-bit integer value
 - bits in the message: d = 752 + 32
 - update frequency: f = 5
 - capacity of the communication channel: $C = 10^7$
 - number of unicast connections: n = ?
- $\bullet \ d \ \cdot f \ \cdot n \leq C \implies n \leq 2551$

Architecture	Capacity requirement
Single node	0
Peer-to-peer	$O(n)\ldots O(n^2)$
Client-server	<i>O(n)</i>
Peer-to-peer server-network	$O(n/m+m)O(n/m+m^2)$
Hierarchical server-network	O(n)







Categories		
Compression technique	Lossless compression	Lossy compression
Internal compression	Encode the message in a more efficient format and eliminate redundancy within the message	Filter irrelevant information or reduce the detail of the transmitted information
External compression	Avoid retransmitting information that is identical to that sent in previous messages	Avoid retransmitting information that is similar to that sent in previous messages







Aggregation Trade-offs and Strategies

- better potential bandwidth savings
- reduces the value of data
- Timeout-based transmission policy
 - collect messages for a fixed timeout period
 - guarantees an upper bound for delay
 - reduction varies depending on the entities ■ no entity updates ⇒ no aggregation but transmission delay
- Quorum-based transmission policy
 - merge messages until there is enough
 - guarantees a particular bandwidth and message rate reduction
 - no limitation on delay
- Timeliness (timeout) vs. bandwidth reduction (quorum)

Merging Timeout- and Quorum-**Based Policies**

- Wait until enough messages or timeout expired
- After transmission of an aggregated message, reset timeout and message counter
- Adapts to the dynamic entity update rates
 - slow update rate \Rightarrow timeout bounds the delay
 - rapid update rate ⇒ better aggregation, bandwidth reduction

Aggregation Servers

- In many applications, each host only manages a single entity
- More available updates, larger aggregation messages can be quickly generated
- Large update pool \Rightarrow projection aggregation a set of entities having a common characteristic
- location, entity type
- Aggregation server
 - hosts transmit updates to aggregation server(s)
 - server collects updates from multiple hosts server disseminates aggregated update messages
- Distributes the workload across several processors
- Improves fault tolerance and overall performance