## The Explanatory Combinatorial Dictionary as the Key Tool in Machine Translation

Igor Mel'čuk

Department of linguistics and translation
University of Montreal
C. P. 6128, Succ. Centre-Ville
Montreal (Quebec) H3C 3J7
CANADA
Igor.Melcuk@umontreal.ca

**Abstract.** Lexical and syntactic mismatches between languages are the main challenge for every translation (especially formidable for Machine Translation; see Mel'čuk & Wanner 2001, 2006). An example of mismatches in English-to-Russian translation:

- a. The demonstrators were brutally beaten and tear-gassed by the police.
- b. Rus. *Demonstranty podverglis' zverskomu izbieniju so storony policii; protiv nix byl primenën slezotočivyj gaz* lit. 'Demonstrators underwent bestial beating from side of police; against them was applied tear-gas'.

The only way to resolve inter-linguistic mismatches is paraphrasing—intra- or interlinguistic. To explain better what I mean I will refer to mismatches found in the example. Thus, in Russian:

- The verb with the meaning '[to] tear-gas' does not exist, so you have to use the semantically equivalent expression '[to] apply tear-gas'.
- The passive of the verb '[to] beat'—with the Actor 'police'—does not readily combine with the noun 'police' as the syntactic Agent (it is not official enough!), so you have to use the semantically equivalent expression '[to] undergo a beating' (with the Subject 'demonstrators').
- The expression '[to] apply tear-gas' does not have a passive and therefore cannot be directly conjoined with '[to] undergo a beating' (the two clauses have different Subjects), so you have to use loose coordination of two complete clauses.

Such paraphrases are described by Deep-Syntactic Paraphrasing System of the Meaning-Text Theory: a few dozens universal paraphrasing rules (Mel'čuk 1992; Milićević 2006).

Paraphrasing at the semantic and/or deep-syntactic level can be ensured only by an extremely rich dictionary. Thus, the transformation '[to] tear-gas'  $\Rightarrow$  '[to] apply teargas' is triggered by the information in the lexical entry of the Russian nominal expression SLEZOTOČIVYJ GAZ 'tear-gas' (where the semi-auxiliary verb PRIMENJAT' '[to] apply' is found).

Such a dictionary, semantically-based and lexical co-occurrence centered, is the *Explanatory Combinatorial Dictionary* (Mel'čuk & Žolkovskyj 1984, Mel'čuk *et al.* 

## 2 Igor Mel'čuk

1984-1999, Mel'čuk *et al.* 1995). Three major zones of its lexical entry for headword L present:

- L's meaning, in the form of a formalized analytical lexicographic definition equivalent to a semantic network of the Meaning-Text Theory;
- L's Government Pattern, which contains complete information about L's actants and their surface realization;
- L's restricted lexical cooccurrence, in the form of Lexical Functions, which supply complete information about L's collocations (for instance: Real<sub>1</sub>(slezotočivyj gaz 'tear-gas') = primenjat' [ $\sim protiv$  'against'  $N_Y$ ]; [AntiBon + Magn](izbivat' '[to] beat') = zverski lit. 'bestially'; Fact<sub>2</sub>(policija 'police') = izbivat' '[to] beat', not \*bit' '[to] beat', which is semantically quite plausible).