§9.2 Protocol Optimization

- To transmit data
 - allocate a buffer
 - * write data into the buffer
 - transmit a packet containing the buffer contents
- Every network packet incurs a processing penalty
- To improve resource usage, reduce
 the size of each network packet
 - (message compression)
 - (message aggregation)



Message Compression

Lossless compressionChange encoding

Lossy compression

- Some information may be lost
- ◆ No information loss
 ◆ 10.0000001 ⇒ 10.0000001

lost \Rightarrow 10.00000001 \Rightarrow 10



Internal and External Compression

Internal compression

- Manipulates a message based solely on its own content
- No reference to the previous message

External compression

- Manipulates the message data within the context of what has already been transmitted
- Better compression
- Dependency between messages
- Need for reliable transmission



Compression Technique Categories

Compression technique	Lossless compression	Lossy compression
Internal compression	Encode the message in a more efficient format and eliminate redundancy within the message	Filter irrelevant information or reduce the detail of the transmitted information
External compression	Avoid retransmitting information that is identical to that sent in previous messages	Avoid retransmitting information that is similar to that sent in previous messages

Compression Methods

- Huffman coding
- Arithmetic coding
- Substitutional compression
- ♦ LZ78, LZ77
- ♦ Wavelets
- Vector quantization
- Fractal compression



Protocol Independent Compression Algorithm (PICA)

- ♦ Lossless, external
- Transmit occasionally numbered reference state snapshots
- Subsequent update packets
 snapshot number
- ♦ delta information
- Snapshots reliably
 easy retransmission



Application Gateways

- Compression can be localized to areas of the network having limited bandwidth
- Packet in uncompressed form over the LAN
- Application Gateway (AG) compress them before they enter the WAN
- Quiescent entity service
 * handles dead or inactive entities





Aggregation Trade-offs and Strategies

- ♦ Wait longer
 - better potential bandwidth savings
 - reduces the value of data
- ◆ Timeout-based transmission policy
 - collect messages for a fixed timeout per
 - guarantees an upper bound for delay
 - reduction varies depending on the entities
 no entity updates ⇒ no aggregation but transmission delay
- ◆ Quorum-based transmission policy
 - merge messages until there is enough
 - * guarantees a particular bandwidth and message rate reduction
 - no limitation on del
- ◆ Timeliness (timeout) vs. bandwidth reduction (quorum)

Merging Timeout- and Quorum-Based Policies

- Wait until enough messages or timeout expired
- After transmission of an aggregated message, reset timeout and message counter
- ◆ Adapts to the dynamic entity update rates
- $\ensuremath{\boldsymbol{\ast}}$ slow update rate \Rightarrow timeout bounds the delay
- \checkmark rapid update rate \Rightarrow better aggregation, bandwidth reduction

Aggregation Servers

- In many applications, each host only manages a single entity
- More available updates, larger aggregation messages can be quickly generated
- ◆ Large update pool ⇒ projection aggregation
 ◆ a set of entities having a common characteristic
 location, entity type
- Aggregation server

- hosts transmit updates to aggregation server(s)
- * server collects updates from multiple hosts
- server disseminates aggregated update messages
- Distributes the workload across several processors
- Improves fault tolerance and overall performance